Substitution Reactions of Oxalato Complex Ions. 111. Kinetics of the Optical Activity Loss, Decomposition, and Oxalate Exchange Reactions of Oxalato-bis-(ethylenediamine)-cobalt(II1) Ion in Strongly Basic Aqueous Solution

BY S. SHEEL,¹ D. T. MELOON,² AND G. M. HARRIS

Received July 21, 1961

The loss of optical activity, decomposition, and oxalate exchange reactions of **oxalato-bis-(ethylenediamine)** cobalt(III) cation in the presence of aqueous alkali all are shown to result from the equilibration.: Coen₂C₂O₄⁺ + $2OH^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Coen}_2(\text{OH})_2^+ + \text{C}_2\text{O}_4^-$ (1). The three processes have identical second-order kinetics, fitted at 71[°] by the rate law: $R = k(\text{Coen}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4^+)$ (OH⁻), where $k = 1.7 \pm 0.3$ l. mole⁻¹ min.⁻¹. The reactions appear to be controlled by rate-determining de-chelation of the oxalate ligand, *viz.*: $\text{Coen}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4 + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Coen}_2\text{OC}_2\text{O}_8$.OH. Subsequent rapid steps result in the formation of a *cis/trans* equilibrium mixture of the dihydroxy species. The equilibrium constant for reaction 1 is $K_1 = 5000 \pm 1000$ l. mole⁻¹ at 71°. Neutral or strongly acidic solutions of Coen₂C₂O₄⁺ are completely stable for long periods of time at elevated temperatures.

The exchange and racemization reactions of the carbonato-bis- (ethylenediamine) -cobalt **(111)** ion were studied by Holden and Harris.³ They showed that the rate of loss of optical activity is much slower than the rate of carbonate exchange, and that the two reactions were in fact quite dissimilar mechanistically. One purpose of the present investigation was to determine the differences in rates and mechanisms *of* the corresponding reactions for the analogous oxalatodiamine complex. It also was desired to compare the kinetic behavior of this exceedingly stable mono-oxalato compound with that of the trisoxalato species reported in earlier papers in this series.⁴

Previous studies of the chemistry of the Coen₂- C_2O_4 ⁺ (en = ethylenediamine) complex ion consist of the preparative work of Werner and coworkers, 5 and the attempted racemization study by Bushra and Johnson.⁶ The latter authors showed that iong standing of the aqueous solution

even in the presence of usually catalytic multivalent cations failed to induce loss of optical activity. Our experiments now have demonstrated that various reactions of this complex are rapid in basic solution, though the complex remains quite unaffected by heating to 90° in the presence of 1 *M* mineral acid. The results *of* a kinetic investigation of the hydroxide-ion promoted processes are detailed herewith.

Experimental

A. Preparation of Compounds.-cis-Dichloro-bis-(ethylenediamine)-cobalt(**111)** chloride was prepared by a standard procedure⁷ and resolved by means of ammonium d - α -bromocamphor- π -sulfonate to give the *levo* isomer. Optically active d -(Coen₂C₂O₄)₂C₂O₄ was obtained from the l -Coen₂Cl₂Cl by a modification of a previous procedure,⁸ as follows: the I-dichloro salt and C.P. silver oxalate were ground together in an ice-cold mortar with an insufficient quantity of ice water to allow complete solution of the complex. The slurry was allowed to warm slowly to room temperature, and after 6 hr. standing the resultant d -oxalato-oxalate **was** dissolved in a minimum quantity **of** water and separated from the insoluble silver salt residues by filtration. The active oxalato compound then was precipitated from the filtrate by addition of a 1: 1 alcoholether mixture. 9 Racemic Coen₂C₂O₄Cl was prepared by

⁽¹⁾ Deceased December 1958. Work done as part of the Ph.D. requirements of the University of Buffalo.

⁽²⁾ Work done as part *of* **the M.A. requirements of the University uf Buffalo, 1960.**

⁽³⁾ J. **S. Holden and** G. M. **Harris,** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* **77, 1934 (1'385).**

^{(4) (}a) F. D. Graziano and G. M. **Harris,** *.I. Phys. Chert., 63,* **330 (1959);** (b) **K.** V. **Krishnamurty and G. M. Harris,** *ihid.,* **64, 346 (1960).**

^{(5) (}a) A. Werner and A. Vilmns, *Z. onorg. ('hem..* **ai, 14.5 (1899); (b) A. Werner and McCulcheon, Ber.. 46, 3281 (1912); (c) A. Werner,** *Am.,* **386, 77 (1912); (d) A. Werner,** *Ber.,* **47, 2171 (1914).**

^{(6) (}a) E. Bushra and C. H. loohuson, *J. Chcm.* Soc., **1937 (1939); (b) A new synthetic procedure has been reported recently by F. P. Dwyer. I. K. Reid, and** P. **L. Garvan,** *J. Am Chcnt. Soc.,* **83, 1286 (1961).**

⁽⁷⁾ W. *C.* **Fernelius, Ed., "Inorganic Syntheses,"** Vol. **11, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York,** N. **Y., 1946, p. 223.**

⁽⁸⁾ J. **C. Bailar, jr., and K. Aiiten,** *J. An.* **Chem.** *Soc.,* **66, 774 (1934).**

⁽⁹⁾ Specific rotation of this preparation [a]²⁰D, was 570°, meas**wed on a 0.1% solution. Werner and McCutcheon6b initially** obtained a product with a specific rotation of 200°, but Werner^{5d} **later reported this was increased to a maximum of about** *800'* **hp** repeated recrystallization. Dwyer, *et al.*,^{6b} got a product of similar activity to Werner's maximum. Bailar and Auten⁸ give no quanti**tative rotation data for this complex.**

the method of Werner and Vilmos,¹⁶ using C.P. reagents. The purity of the complex compound preparations was confirmed by analyses for cobalt (as Co_1O_4 by direct ignition), for oxalate (by potassium permanganate titration), and for chloride (by silver nitrate titration).

B. Optical Rotation Experiments.-All measurements were made on a Rudolph Model *80* research-type polarimeter, capable of $\pm 0.001^{\circ}$ precision. In the present work, the high absorbancy of the oxalato complex ion at the sodium-p line reduced the precision to not much better than $\pm 0.01^{\circ}$. Kinetic runs were commenced by pipetting pre-determined volumes of standardized NaOH solution and distilled water into a 25-ml. volumetric flask thermostated at $71.0 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$. The required weighed quantity of anhydrous d-complex then **was** added, with vigorous stirring. The reaction solution **was** transferred quickly to a thermostated polarimeter tube and rotation measurements were made at appropriate intervals.

C. Spectrophotometric Experiments.--A Beckman DU spectrophotometer was employed. Solutions were prepared **as** described in (B) above, except that racemic $Coen₂O₄Cl$ salt was used. In the equilibrium constant determinations, it was necessary to add excess sodium oxalate for reasons obvious later. Reaction samples were "frozen" by quickly cooling the spectrophotometer cells in which they were contained to ice temperature before making the spectral measurements at **360** and *500* **mp,** the absorption **peaks** of the oxalato complex. **In** a few experiments, the spectrophotometer cell compartment was itself thermostated at 71°, enabling observation of the spectral changes at **much** more frequent intervals than by the "freezing" technique. Concentrations of the $Coen₂O₄$ ⁺ ion and of the *cis-trans* equilibrium mixture of $Coen_2(OH)_2$ ⁺ ion were obtained by use of the known molar extinction coefficient data.^{10,11}

D. Oxalate Exchange Experiments.-The technique used in previous oxalate exchange work in **this** Laboratory' **was** but little modified in the present study. Reaction mixtures always were equilibrated chemically at the chosen temperature for one day prior to "seeding" with a small portion of highly active sodium oxalate-C-14. Standard solid sample counting procedure was employed on the precipitated, **dried,** and weighed calcium oxalate monohydrate samples. The data were obtained in terms of exchange half-times from the **usual** linear McKay plots. The precision of the data **was** not of a high order, due to the reaction complications mentioned below, but a **series** of repetitive experiments indicated a standard deviation of not greater than $\pm 10\%$ in the half-time values.

Results and Discussion

It was obvious from preliminary stoichiometry **experiments12** that the over-all chemical reaction between *alkali* hydroxide and **the** complex cation is represented by the equilibrium

$$
Coen2C2O4+ + 2OH- = Coen3(OH)2+ + C2O4-(1)
$$

The dihydroxy **species** is considered to be in cis -trans equilibrium, since this equilibration occurs **very** rapidly at the temperature of the present experiments.^{11,13} The *cis* to *trans* reaction also provides a path for loss of optical activity; no such loss occurs, in fact, unless the hydroxyl ion concentration is appreciable." Polarimetric **runs** were carried out in which the complex ion concentration was varied from 2.4 \times 10⁻³ to 9.7 \times 10^{-3} *M*, and that of the base from 2×10^{-3} to 24×10^{-3} *M*. A coherent set of rate constants could be obtained only by treating the optical activity loss not **as** a racemization in the true **sense** but **as a** direct result of chemical reaction, **as** suggested by reaction 1, with a rate law of the form

$$
R_{\mathbf{r}} = k_{\mathbf{r}}(\text{Coen}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4^+)(\text{OH}^-) \tag{2}
$$

The rate constants were computed in the usual manner from the slope of the standard integrated form of the appropriate second-order equation

$$
\ln \frac{a(c-2x)}{c(a-x)} = k_r(c-2a)t \tag{3}
$$

In this, a and c represent the known initial concentrations of complex and of hydroxide, respectively, and *x* is readily calculated from the rotation angles measured initially and at time *t.* The polarimetric rate constant data are collected in Table I. The **only** inconsistent results (experiments 9 and 10) are those from **runs** in which

TABLE I

 k_r , mean and average deviation, expt. $1-8$, 1.8 ± 0.2 " *k,* values obtained from apparent initial slope of nonlinear plot.

⁽¹⁰⁾ **Data obtained in the present study showed that Beer's law** holds for CoensCzO4⁺ in the range 0.003 to 0.01 M , and that the conventional molar extinction coefficient, $\epsilon \lambda = (1/cl) \log I_0/I$, had **the values 138 and 113 at 380 and 500 rryr, respectively.**

⁽¹¹⁾ The molar extinction coefficients of Coen,(OH)s+ are 70.2 and 65.5 at 360 and 500 nyr, **respectively; J. Bjerrum and S. Rasmussen, Ada Chem.** *Scad,* **I, 1277 (1952); ibid.,** *9,* **735 (1955).**

⁽¹²⁾ Based on spectrophotometric observations and on the increase in amount of "free" oxalate determined by precipitation.

⁽¹³⁾ I. *Y.* **Tong and P. E. Yankwich. J.** *Am. Chem. Soc., 80,* **26ti4 (1958).**

⁽¹⁴⁾ A "neutral" solution of d-(CoentC~O~)rC204 underwent no measurable decrease in optical activity during 32 hr. at 71° or in 38 days at room temperature.

	Initial Concentrations $(M \times 10^3)$			Rate const. $k_{\rm m}$.	Equil. const. K ₁ ,
Expt.			Free	$1.$ mole ^{-1}	$1.$ mole ^{-1}
no.	(Complex)	$(OH-)$	oxalate	$min. -1$	\times 10 ⁻¹
11	5.0	8.0	\cdots	1.5	\cdots
12	5.0	16.0	\cdots	1.7	\cdots
13	10.0	15.0	\cdots	1.9	\cdots
14	20.0	20.0	\cdots	1.4	\cdots
15	5.0	7.5	31.0	$(1.0)^a$	4.8
16	5.0	12.0	150.6	$(0.7)^a$	5.3
17	10.1	15.0	98.9	$(1.1)^a$	6.4
18	10.0	15.0	149.8	\cdots	3.5
k_s , mean and average dev., expt. 11-14,				1.6 ± 0.2	
K_1 , mean and average dev., expt. 15-18,					$(5.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^3$

TABLE I1 SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC **RATE** AND EQUILIBRIUM DATA AT **71.0"**

k, **value obtained from apparent initial slope of non-linear plot.**

the (OH^-) is considerably less than the stoichiometry requirement of equation 1. In these cases, the unidirectional reaction assumption inherent in equation **3** is no doubt invalid.16 An alternative possibility, that the low *k,* values of these runs result from ionic strength effects, is not tenable since the μ values for experiments 9 and 10 bracket those of several of the other experiments. In fact, the random scatter of k_r in experiments 1 through 8, in which μ varies from about 0.01 to 0.03, establishes the non-sensitivity of the reaction to change in ionic strength within the limits specified.

The spectrophotometric data also were analyzed in terms of the simple second-order kinetics concept used above. The concentrations of reactants and products could be followed by use of the known initial concentrations of complex and of hydroxyl, and of the optical density measurements at 360 and 500 m μ . It also was possible to obtain the magnitude of the equilibrium constant, *K1,* of reaction 1 provided excess "free" oxalate was added initially in order to prevent the reaction from going essentially to completion. These runs, howevcr, gave non-linear rate plots and low *K,* values, undoubtedly for the same reason that the rotation runs at low hydroxyl ion concentration were unsatisfactory.¹⁵ The spectrophotometric results are presented in Table 11. The scatter in the values of the equilibrium constant seems large, but is unavoidable due to the great sensitivity of the determination to the final value of $(OH⁻)$, which must be determined by difference and therefore is subject to considerable error.

It **was** found satisfactory to treat the oxalate

exchange rate data in terms of the same secondorder rate-determining process as before. The exchange rate law applicable to the chemically equilibrated reaction mixtures may be written

$$
\frac{0.693}{t_{1/2}} = \frac{R(a+b)}{(a-x)(b+x)} = k_e \frac{(c-2x)(a+b)}{(b+x)} \quad (4)
$$

where *a* and *c* are **as** already defined and *b* is the known initial¹⁶ concentration of "free" oxalate. Utilizing the known value of K_1 to calculate x from the expression

$$
K_1 = \frac{x(b+x)}{(a-x)(c-2x)^2}
$$
 (5)

the *k,'s* were computed from the observed exchange half-times of the various runs, with the results given in Table III.17

It is seen that, within experimental error, $k_r =$ $k_{\rm s} = k_{\rm e}$, which must be true if the three processes have identical rate-determining steps, as has been assumed. **A** mechanism which is consistent with this point of view is

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{Coen}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4{}^+ + \text{OH}^- &\rightleftarrows \\
\text{cis-Coen}_2{}^-\text{OC}_2\text{O}_3{}^-\text{OH} \quad \text{(slow)} \quad \text{(6)} \\
\text{Coen}_2{}^-\text{OC}_2\text{O}_3{}^-\text{OH} + \text{OH}^- &\rightleftarrows \\
\text{coen}_2{}^-\text{OC}_2{}^-\text{OH} \quad &\rightleftarrows \\
\text{Coen}_2{}^-\text{CO}_3{}^-\text{OH} \quad &\rightleftarrows \\
\text{Coen}_2{}^-\text{CO}_3{}^-\text{OH}
$$

 $cis\text{-}\mathrm{Coen}_2(OH)_2^+ + C_2O_4^-$ (fast) (7)

 $cis\text{-}\text{Coen}_2(\text{OH})_2^+ \rightleftarrows trans\text{-}\text{Coen}_2(\text{OH})_2^+$ (fast) (8)

The assumption that reaction 6 is rate-determining leads to the observed kinetic behavior. Reactions 6 and 7 may or may not take place with complete retention of configuration, since the rapid equilibration according to (8) results in any case in loss of optical activity, However, inversion

⁽¹⁵⁾ The **assumption only holds if the equilibrium constant of reaction 1 is rather large (as is shown later to be the case here), the (OH-) not too low, and the "free" oxalate concentration not too high.**

⁽¹⁶⁾ All these initial values refer to the state of affairs prior to chemical equilibration at the reaction temperature. Establishment of this equilibrium requires modification of the standard McKay equation as shown in (4).

⁽¹⁷⁾ Columns **5 and 6 are included in the Table since** the **excellent agreement between these two sets** of **figures provides a gravimetric confirmation** of **the spectrophotometrically determined magnitude of** *Ki.*

 $k_{\rm e}$, mean and average deviation, expt. 19-27, at 71[°] 1.8 \pm 0.2

* **"z" calculated from the known values of** *a,* **b, c, and** *K1. x* **determined from increasein weight of precipitated** "free oxalate" over that expected from known "b" value, " Single experiment only. Other results averaged from two **or more duplicate runs. d Runs made at 64'.** *KI* **assumed to have same value as at 71'.**

of configuration in either *(6)* or **(7)** is ruled out, since this would require that $k_r = 2k_e = 2k_s$ for the mechanism **as** proposed. The assumption that the initial dihydroxy product is in fact the *cis* form is based on the following evidence. The isosbestic wave lengths for $Coen₂C₂O₄$ + and the cis -trans $Coen₂(OH)₂$ ⁺ equilibrium mixture are at 384 and 536 m μ , with absorption coefficients of **72** and **67,** respectively. It was noted in some **runs** done with the thermostated spectrophotometer cell that, during the first few minutes of reaction, the absorption at these wave lengths increased slightly to a maximum, then fell off to the true equilibrium values. This is the expected behavior if $cis\text{-}\mathrm{Coen}_2(\mathrm{OH})_2$ ⁺ is the first dihydroxy product, since it has absorption coefficients **of 94** and **87** at the quoted isosbestic wave lengths.

One notes the contrast in the oxalate exchange behavior of this mono-oxalato complex, where dechelation of the oxalate group appears to be ratedetermining, with that of the tris-oxalato type of complex, where de-chelation probably occurs during a very rapid proton pre-equilibration.⁴ **A** possible explanation is the one of hydrogen bonding stabilization, previously invoked in studies of the carbonato diamine cobaltic complexes¹⁸ and recently supported by deuterium exchange experiments.¹⁹ It is obvious that strong internal hydrogen bonding can exist in the mono-oxalato diamine ion, but not in tris-oxalato. ions. The higher negative charge of the latter species also is

(18) J. **E. Boyle and** G. **M. Harris,** *J.* **Am.** *Cham. Soc., 80,* **782 (1958).**

(19) G. Lapidus and G. M. Harris, manuscript in preparation.

probably of significance. The role of the **OH**ion in promoting the de-chelation of the monooxalato group may be conceived **as** one of a partial de-protonation equilibration followed by **a** rate-determining attack by water molecules on the imido intermediate. Reaction *6* then would be replaced by

$$
Coen_2C_2O_4^+ + OH^- \rightleftarrows
$$

 $Coen_2C_2O_4^+ + OH^- \rightleftharpoons$
CoenNH₂(CH₂)₂NHC₂O₄+ H₂O (fast) (6a) $\text{CoenNH}_2(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{NHC}_2\text{O}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Coen}_2\text{O}_2\text{O}_3\cdot\text{OH}$ (slow) (6b)

This SN1CB concept of the mechanism, of course, leads to the same kinetics as the SN₂ assumption inherent in the previous proposal, but there is no evidence in the present study on which to base a choice between the two.

It is of interest that the displacement of en from Coena+++ **by** OH- appears to have the same second-order kinetics **as** the mono-oxalato ion reaction. The former has been interpreted in terms of rate-determining de-chelation of one of the ethylenediamine ligands.20 The process is, however, only about 2×10^{-3} times as rapid as the oxalate substitution at 70° . For the Coen₃⁺⁺⁺ ion, the rate constant is given as $k_{en} = 6.5 \times$ 10^{19} exp($-38,000/RT$) **1.** mole⁻¹ sec.⁻¹. The limited data on the temperature dependence of the oxalate substitution reaction (Table I11 above) suggest an activation energy for the process of 34,000 cal./mole, leading to the Arrhenius rate expression $k_{ox} = 9 \times 10^{19} \exp(-34,000/RT)$ 1. mole^{-1} sec.^{-1}. It is seen that the difference in velocity of the two reactions is almost en-

(20) J. A. Friend and E. K. Nunn, *J. Chum.* **Soc., 1567 (1958).**

tirely ascribable to the activation energy **differ**ence. **This** near-identity of the frequency factors (and thus entropies of activation) **offers** support for **the** assumption of identical mechanisms.

Finally, one must note the differences in the reactions of $Coen₂CO₃⁺$ ion³ and $Coen₂CO₄⁺$. The sensitivity of the carbonato species to acid decomposition must arise from a major difference in mechanism. It **has** been suggested by *ex*periments using **Hz018** that the initial process in the acid decomposition of $Co(NH₃)₄CO₃$ ⁺ is probably C-O bond cleavage,²¹ and one would expect $Coen₂CO₃ +$ to show similar behavior.

Unfortunately, no comparable data are available for the $Coen₂C₂O₄ + OH^-$ reaction. However, the possibility **exists** that **in** the mono-oxalato decomposition, **the** initial step is metal-oxygen bond **fission; such a** mechanism would provide a basis for the contrast in behavior observed with the two carbonato species.

Financial support of this work has been generously provided by the **U.** S. Atomic Energy Commission though Contract **No. AT(30-1)-** 1578 with the University of Buffalo.

(21) F. A. Pwey and H. Taube, *J. Am. Ckm. SOL,* **76, 4099 (1953); J. P. Hunt, A.** *C.* **Rut'enbug, and H. Taube,** *ibid.,* **74, 268 (\$952).**

CONTRIBUTION FROM THE NOYES LABORATORY OF CHEMISTRY, *UNIVSXSITY* **OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, ILLINOIS**

Some Metal Chelates of Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid, Diethylenetriaminepentaacetic Acid, and Triethylenetetraminehexaacetic Acid^{1,2}

BY ROBERT E. SIEVERS AND JOHN C. **BAILAR,** JR.

Received **Seftember** *18,1961*

The properties and structural characteristics of some meel chelates of **ethylenediaminetetraacetic** acid, diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid, and **triethylenetetraminehexaacetic** acid are reported. For chelates of 33 different metal ions, a correlation is observed between the charge/size ratio of the metal ion and the degree of covalency in the metal-oxygen bond. The infrared spectra of chelates of metal ions **having** charge/size ratios larger than **3.6** exhibit carbony1 absorption maxima in the **1625** to **1650** cm-1 region, but in complexes where the ratio is below **3.6** the maxima occur between **1590** and **1615** cm.-'. A Th(1V) complex, in which diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid apparently functions as an octodentate ligand, was **isolated.** Syntheses of binuclear Mo(V) complexes of **diethylenetriaminepentaacetic** acid and **triethylenetetraminehexaacetic** acids are reported.

Introduction

This study is concerned with some of the properties and structural characteristics of metal chelates of the polyaminocarboxylic acids shown in 1-111.

⁽¹⁾ Based upon a portioqof a dissertation submitted by Robert E.. Severs *in* **August, I960 in partial fulfillment of the recpirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at the University of Illinois. (2) This research was supported in part by the Socony-Mohil**

Earlier studies³⁻⁹ of the infrared spectra of metal complexes **of** EDTA have revealed some very interesting phenomena. Et was learned that the symmetric stretching frequency of the carbonyl group varies considerably in structure IV

⁽³⁾ D. H. BE& and J. *C.* **Bailar. Jr.,** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* **76, 4574 (1953).**

⁽⁴⁾ D. H. Busch and J. *C.* **Bsilar, jr.,** *ibid.,* **78, 716 (1956).**

⁽⁵⁾ *T,* **Moeller. F. A.** J. **Moss, and R. H. Marshall,** *;bid.,* **77, 3182 (1955).**

⁽⁶⁾ **M. L. Morris and D.** H. **Busrh,** *ibad.,* **78, 5178 (1956).**

⁽⁷⁾ *S.* **Kk~C\$FeF.** *ibid.. 78,* **2372 (1958).**

⁽S) **D. T. Sswyer asd P. 1. Paulsen,** *ibid., BO,* **1597 (1958).**

¹⁹⁾ *D. T.* **Saisyep and 1'. 1. Paulsen,** *\$bid.,* **81, 816 (1959).**